I appreciate your edits

I've edited it again to condense the length

From: Alagic, Gorjan (Assoc) <gorjan.alagic@nist.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2020 5:09 PM
To: Apon, Daniel C. (Fed) <daniel.apon@nist.gov>
Subject: NTRU Prime

I made a pass on the NTRU Prime stuff. I made a ton of suggestions, mostly for making the language more concise and cutting out technical details in order to match the style of the other descriptions.

I did not edit the part about "what needs to happen" because that seems to meld with John's "path to standardization" stuff, and I'm not sure what's happening with that.

I'm not married to any of my suggestions, so I'm totally fine with some or all of them getting thrown out. For what it's worth, I appreciate what you wrote and I found the snarky style and the digs at Dan pretty funny. But we probably don't want those in a final report.